

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/019,602	HOYDAL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Douglas J. Theisen	1724	

All Participants:

Status of Application: amended

(1) Douglas J. Theisen.

(3) _____.

(2) John F. Gulbin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
none

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The need for a new abstract not to exceed 150 words in length on a separate page was discussed.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)